Distribution of Coronoid Fracture Lines by Specific Patterns of Traumatic Elbow Instability
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Purpose To determine if specific coronoid fractures relate to specific overall traumatic elbow instability injury patterns and to depict any relationship on fracture maps and heat maps.

Methods We collected 110 computed tomography (CT) studies from patients with coronoid fractures. Fracture types and pattern of injury were characterized based on anteroposterior and lateral radiographs, 2- and 3-dimensional CT scans, and intraoperative findings as described in operative reports. Using quantitative 3-dimensional CT techniques we were able to reconstruct the coronoid and reduce fracture fragments. Based on these reconstructions, fracture lines were identified and graphically superimposed onto a standard template in order to create 2-dimensional fracture maps. To further emphasize the fracture maps, the initial diagrams were converted into fracture heat maps following arbitrary units of measure. The Fisher exact test was used to evaluate the association between coronoid fracture types and elbow fracture-dislocation patterns.

Results Forty-seven coronoid fractures were associated with a terrible triad fracture dislocation, 30 with a varus posteromedial rotational injury, 1 with an anterior olecranon fracture dislocation, 22 with a posterior olecranon fracture dislocation, and 7 with a posterior Monteggia injury associated with terrible triad fracture dislocation of the elbow. The association between coronoid fracture types and elbow fracture-dislocation patterns, as shown on 2-dimensional fracture and heat maps, was strongly significant.

Conclusions Our fracture maps and heat maps support the observation that specific patterns of traumatic elbow instability have correspondingly specific coronoid fracture patterns. Knowledge of these patterns is useful for planning management because it directs exposure and fixation and helps identify associated ligament injuries and fractures that might benefit from treatment.

Clinical relevance Two-dimensional fracture and heat mapping techniques may help surgeons to predict the distribution of coronoid fracture lines associated with specific injury patterns.
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injuries, anteromedial facet fractures with varus post-
eromedial rotational instability injuries, and larger
basal fractures of the coronoid process with anterior
and posterior olecranon fracture dislocations.4–6

This study used recently described 2-dimensional
fracture mapping techniques in which a map of the most
common fracture lines is created by superimposing
fracture lines from a large number of injuries7,8 after
creating quantitative 3-dimensional computed tomog-
raphy (Q3DCT) models. We also applied heat mapping
techniques whereby fracture line intensity is graphically
represented in color. These techniques were used to
define the location, frequency, distribution, and pattern
of fracture lines of the coronoid. We tested the null
hypothesis that specific coronoid fractures do not
associate with specific overall traumatic elbow insta-
bility injury patterns and depicted this on fracture maps
and heat maps.

METHODS

Subjects

Our institutional review board approved a retrospec-
tive search of our billing data for patients with a
coronoid fracture between July 2001 and January 2014
at 2 level I trauma centers. The International Classi-

fication of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modifi-
cation (code 813.0x for closed fracture and 813.1x for
open fracture) and Current Procedural Terminology
(codes 24586–24685, including elbow dislocations,
Monteggia type of fractures, radial and ulnar fractures)
were used to search the billing data. Two hundred
seven patients with coronoid fractures were identi-
ed. Inclusion criteria were patients aged 18 years or older
with an acute fracture of the coronoid and a CT scan
displaying the complete fracture. One hundred twenty-
one patients met the inclusion criteria. We excluded
11 patients with prior elbow injury, low-quality CT
images, or artifacts on CT scan. Therefore, 110 frac-
tures were available for study.

Two-dimensional fracture mapping

Two-dimensional fracture maps represent fracture
line distribution on a 2-dimensional template by
superimposing fracture lines from a large number of
injuries. Images of coronoid fractures needed for
2-dimensional fracture mapping were based on
Q3DCT modeling techniques. The original Digital
Imaging and Communications in Medicine files of
selected CT scans were obtained through the Picture
Archiving Communications System database of the
2 hospitals. All CT scans had a slide thickness be-
tween 0.625 mm and 3.000 mm. The digitally imaged
files were loaded into 3D Slicer (Boston, MA). The
3D Slicer is a software program used for analysis and
visualization of medical images. Bony structures were
manually marked on transverse, sagittal, and oblique
CT slides using the Paint Effect and additional
Threshold Paint option available in this program.
After marking all bony structures of the proximal
ulna on each CT slide, 3-dimensional polygon mesh
reconstructions were created in 3D Slicer. These
3-dimensional mesh reconstructions were imported
in Rhinoceros (McNeel, Seattle, WA) for reduction of
the fracture fragments (Fig. 1).

Using the method of Cole et al8 and Armitage et al,7
fracture lines were graphically superimposed onto a

FIGURE 1: Images show fracture fragment reduction of 3-dimensional mesh reconstructions in Rhinoceros (McNeel, Seattle, WA).
A Imported 3-dimensional mesh reconstruction. B Fracture fragment selected for reduction. C Image of 3-dimensional mesh reconstruction after reduction of the fracture fragment.
2-dimensional template of an intact proximal ulna. Images of the reduced 3-dimensional mesh reconstruction were obtained in the same viewpoint as the 2-dimensional template, imported in Macromedia Fireworks MX software (Macromedia Inc, San Francisco), and matched with the template by aligning anatomical landmarks. After proper alignment of the images with the 2-dimensional template, fracture lines were drawn (Fig. 2).

Heat mapping
To further emphasize the 2-dimensional fracture maps, heat maps were created on which fracture line intensities are graphically represented as colors. The initial diagrams were converted into heat maps with Rhinoceros and Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA). All fracture lines were manually and consecutively converted into points (x, y) onto a standard 2-dimensional template of the proximal ulna in Rhinoceros. A standardized space of 0.25 units between points was applied. Subsequently, the point coordinates were exported to Excel (Microsoft Excel, Seattle) and imported in Matlab. Heat maps were created based on these coordinates and by running a data density Matlab script file. Data density is calculated as sum of points, weighted by reciprocal squared distance from the pixel, and shown as heat map.9

Patterns of elbow fracture dislocation
Two researchers classified patterns of elbow fracture dislocation based on available anteroposterior and lateral radiographs, 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional CT scans, and intraoperative findings as described in operative reports. Injuries were classified into 1 of the 4 patterns of elbow fracture dislocation described by Doornberg and Ring4: the terrible triad elbow fracture dislocation, varus posteromedial rotational instability pattern, anterior or transolecranon fracture dislocation, or posterior olecranon fracture dislocation (type A posterior Monteggia injury according to
the system of Jupiter et al\textsuperscript{10}). We considered an apex posterior fracture of the proximal ulna combined with complete dislocation of the ulnohumeral joint as a distinct injury pattern.\textsuperscript{11} This is a variant of the posterior Monteggia lesion, but might be best considered a type of terrible triad injury.\textsuperscript{12} In case of disagreement between the researchers, consensus was obtained after discussion.

**Classification of coronoid fractures**

The classification process was similar for coronoid fractures that were classified according to the system of O’Driscoll et al,\textsuperscript{2} which is also known as the Mayo classification. Type 1 involves fractures of the tip of the coronoid. Type 2 involves a fracture of the anteromedial facet of the coronoid process. Type 3 involves a fracture of the coronoid at the base. In case a fracture type was ambiguous, the type was rated based on the classified elbow fracture dislocation and the most likely associated fracture type.

**Statistical analyses**

The Fisher exact test was used to evaluate the association between elbow fracture-dislocation patterns and fracture types according to the classification of O’Driscoll et al,\textsuperscript{2} followed by post hoc multiple comparison with Bonferroni correction. Data were analyzed using a standard statistical software package.

**RESULTS**

There were 76 (69\%) men and 34 (31\%) women included in this study with an average age of 46 years (range, 18–85 y). The majority of the patients were treated surgically. A total of 55 (50\%) patients had a type 1 fracture, and 47 (43\%) fractures were associated with a terrible triad fracture dislocation (Table 1).

One patient presented with an isolated, minimally displaced basilar coronoid fracture and was treated nonsurgically. Although this fracture pattern is unusual, this type of fracture was classified as type 3 according to the Mayo classification. Three injuries involved the...
distal humerus and did not fit into 1 of our injury pattern categories. Two patients had small tip fractures of the coronoid associated with capitellum and trochlea fractures, and one patient had a coronoid tip fracture associated with lateral column humerus fracture.

In 101 (92%) patients, fracture lines entered the proximal radioulnar joint, most commonly at the volar half of the radial notch of the ulna. In terrible triad fracture dislocations, the fracture lines predominantly exited at the tip. In varus posteromedial rotational instability injuries, the fracture lines exited at the anteromedial facet. In the anterior olecranon fracture dislocation, the fracture line entered the proximal radioulnar joint and exited the coronoid at the base. In posterior olecranon fracture dislocations, 9 of 22 (41%) fractures at the base of the coronoid were fragmented, and the most posterior fracture lines exited at the base. In posterior Monteggia injuries with dislocation of the elbow, the fracture lines most often exited at the tip, resembling coronoid fractures associated with terrible triad injuries (Fig. 3).

The association between coronoid fracture types and elbow fracture-dislocation patterns, as shown on 2-dimensional fracture and heat maps, was strongly significant ($P < .001$). Statistically significant associations were found between type 1 fractures and terrible triad fracture dislocations and posterior Monteggia injuries with dislocation of the elbow, between type 2 fractures and varus posteromedial rotational instability injuries, and between type 3 fractures and olecranon fracture dislocations (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Our fracture maps and heat maps support the observation that specific patterns of traumatic elbow instability have correspondingly specific coronoid fracture patterns. Moreover, the maps demonstrated fracture patterns similar to coronoid fragment morphology as described by O’Driscoll et al. Based on clinical experience. Our data emphasize that determining the pattern of elbow fracture dislocation can be helpful for predicting the type and morphology of coronoid fractures prior to CT imaging and can, therefore, facilitate preoperative planning of surgical approach and fixation techniques.

The strengths of this study are the relatively large number of fractures, Q3DCT modeling techniques that allowed reduction of the fracture fragments and capturing the reduced fracture in the correct viewpoint, and graphic methods to display coronoid fracture line patterns and distribution. There are also several limitations. First, we excluded patients who did not have CT scans. This might have influenced the distribution of elbow injury patterns and associated coronoid fractures because CT scans are more likely to be performed for certain traumatic elbow instability injury patterns. Furthermore, fractures treated without obtaining preoperative CT scans may have important differences from the fractures we studied. Second, the fracture lines were superimposed on a 2-dimensional template. Ideally, we would have used a 3-dimensional template that enabled study from more than one viewpoint. Finally, owing to great anatomical variability of the proximal ulna and especially the coronoid process, some images of the 3-dimensional mesh reconstructions of the coronoid did not match the 2-dimensional template perfectly. Consequently, fracture lines drawn on the 2-dimensional template could slightly differ from true fracture morphology.

Adams et al felt it was important to distinguish oblique anterolateral and anteromedial fractures of the tip of the coronoid. According to our maps, the tip fractures associated with terrible triad pattern injuries were usually above the sublime tubercle and the anteromedial facet of the coronoid. In other words, they were relatively lateral. Because the anteromedial fractures usually involve a fracture of the coronoid tip, the map was just a bit more medial and actually very similar to that for the tip fractures.

Our findings are consistent with those of Doornberg et al. Based on a more qualitative study of radiographs and CT. Our applied techniques verified the strong association of large basilar fractures of the coronoid process with posterior olecranon fracture dislocations, small transverse fractures with terrible triad injuries, and anteromedial facet fractures with varus posteromedial rotational instability injuries. Our study showed that

### TABLE 2. Coronoid Fracture Types and Associated Patterns of Injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patterns of Injury</th>
<th>O’Driscoll et al.²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrible triad</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varus posteromedial rotational instability</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior olecranon fracture dislocation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior olecranon fracture dislocation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Monteggia + terrible triad</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
extra- and intra-articular posterior Monteggia injuries associated with dislocation of the elbow have fractures of the coronoid tip, more akin to terrible triad fracture dislocation of the elbow.

Mapping of fracture lines and the use of heat maps helped verify observed associations for coronoid fractures and specific injury patterns. Knowledge of these patterns is useful for planning management, because it directs exposure, fixation, and identification of associated ligament injuries and fractures that might benefit from treatment. Determining the pattern of traumatic elbow instability may help the surgeon predict the type and morphology of coronoid fracture prior to obtaining a CT study. Given the variability of coronoid fracture patterns, however, determining the elbow injury pattern alone is not sufficient for predicting the type of coronoid fracture precisely. Nevertheless, the strength of the observed associations should facilitate care of patients with limited access to CT imaging. The use of fracture mapping might also be able to determine other useful patterns of injury at other anatomical sites.
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